

A War with Hizbollah Would Essentially Mean War with Iran This Time Around

by [Hanin Ghaddar \(/experts/hanin-ghaddar\)](/experts/hanin-ghaddar)

Sep 4, 2017

Also available in

[العربية \(/ar/policy-analysis/hrb-m-hzb-allh-tny-asasaan-hrb-m-ayran-hdhh-almrt\)](/ar/policy-analysis/hrb-m-hzb-allh-tny-asasaan-hrb-m-ayran-hdhh-almrt)

ABOUT THE AUTHORS



[Hanin Ghaddar \(/experts/hanin-ghaddar\)](/experts/hanin-ghaddar)

Hanin Ghaddar is the Friedmann Fellow at The Washington Institute's Geduld Program on Arab Politics, where she focuses on Shia politics throughout the Levant.



Articles & Testimony

Conflict with Israel might be inevitable, but the context has become more complicated than ever for both sides.

The possibility of an [imminent war \(https://www.thenational.ae/world/mena/israel-hizbollah-will-have-to-count-to-ten-before-their-next-war-1.624947\)](https://www.thenational.ae/world/mena/israel-hizbollah-will-have-to-count-to-ten-before-their-next-war-1.624947) between Israel and Hizbollah has been growing as both Israeli and Hizbollah officials engage in fiery rhetoric about how damaging the next war will be (for the other side, of course). Convinced that Hizbollah has strong control over Lebanon's state institutions, Israel is now threatening to target Lebanon's infrastructure, institutions and army, while the group is vowing to attack Israel's nuclear facilities and cities.

There is no doubt that Hizbollah's build-up of precision weapons presents a serious threat to Israel. Yes, if [Iran is not contained in Syria \(https://www.thenational.ae/opinion/the-cult-of-bashar-al-assad-extends-from-the-far-right-to-the-far-left-1.621909\)](https://www.thenational.ae/opinion/the-cult-of-bashar-al-assad-extends-from-the-far-right-to-the-far-left-1.621909) and Hizbollah's threat to Israel from both Lebanon and the Golan Heights is not dealt with, the next war between Israel and Hizbollah may well be inevitable (though at present, not necessarily imminent). Still, despite escalating war rhetoric, both sides understand that there is a lot at stake and that is why there are tell-tale signs of avoidance on both sides.

For Hizbollah, its priorities in the region have changed, and although the Syrian crisis has not yet been resolved, Hizbollah -- and Iran -- continue to achieve gains in Iraq, Syria and Lebanon. Why would they risk these achievements for another confrontation with Israel, especially when another "divine victory" is not guaranteed? In addition, Hizbollah is stretched in the region and has lost many of its high-ranking commanders and trained fighters. As such, they will certainly need time to redeploy and organise themselves if a war with Israel is to ensue.

That is precisely why Hezbollah has not responded the way it typically does to Israeli provocations. Indeed, **[Israel has struck one of its weapons convoys in Syria \(https://www.thenational.ae/world/israel-launches-air-raid-on-syria-in-response-for-fire-army-1.92962\)](https://www.thenational.ae/world/israel-launches-air-raid-on-syria-in-response-for-fire-army-1.92962)** many times in order to prevent its acquisition of "game-changing" weapons. And yet, Hezbollah only responded when it claimed that an Israeli attack had hit a Hezbollah military base inside Lebanon and this is why all of the Israeli attacks against Hezbollah in Syria **[have been ignored \(https://www.thenational.ae/world/syria-warned-by-israel-as-rebels-strike-in-damascus-1.59978\)](https://www.thenational.ae/world/syria-warned-by-israel-as-rebels-strike-in-damascus-1.59978)** thus far.

Matters aren't all that easy for Israel either. While Israel might be more ready than Hezbollah for this war, the Jewish state also knows that another war is costly.

In addition, Hezbollah's arsenal is estimated to have grown from 33,000 rockets and missiles before 2006 to 150,000. These are more advanced weapons that would cause serious damage to Israel.

Perhaps even more importantly, given that Hezbollah now controls more ground in Syria, Israel might find itself fighting two or more fronts in the next war and involved in confrontation with **[all the Shiite militias \(https://www.thenational.ae/opinion/the-cult-of-bashar-al-assad-extends-from-the-far-right-to-the-far-left-1.621909\)](https://www.thenational.ae/opinion/the-cult-of-bashar-al-assad-extends-from-the-far-right-to-the-far-left-1.621909)** in Syria, not just Hezbollah. Under the command of Iran's Quds Force, Hezbollah is today leading tens of thousands of Shiite fighters from Lebanon, Syria, Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan and Yemen. Earlier this summer, Hassan Nasrallah warned that any future Israeli war against Syria or Lebanon would draw thousands of fighters from these militias now fighting in support of the Syrian regime.

In other words, the next war could actually be a war between Israel and Iran. All this essentially means that while the war might be inevitable, the context has become more complicated than ever. Indeed, given that Hezbollah is a regional force and Iran's leading Shiite militia, a conflict with Hezbollah could very well be a conflict with all the Shiite militias in the region, and therefore a conflict with the Iranian Revolutionary Guards Corps' Quds Force. Because the can of worms is proving too big, both sides are flexing their muscles trying to cause **[as much peripheral damage \(https://www.thenational.ae/world/mena/iran-influence-in-syria-threat-to-middle-east-and-the-world-1.622235\)](https://www.thenational.ae/world/mena/iran-influence-in-syria-threat-to-middle-east-and-the-world-1.622235)**, stopping short of actually igniting a confrontation.

Still, in the event war does break out, Hezbollah may find it easier to declare victory this time around. In order to do this, all they would need to do is inflict the most damage possible on Israel and simply survive to fight another day. As long as they have Iran as their sponsor -- and Syria and Iraq under their control -- they can always rebuild their arsenal the way they did after the 2006 war with Israel. At the time, **[Nasrallah declared \(https://www.thenational.ae/world/mena/hizbollah-chief-says-ready-to-battle-isil-on-lebanon-syria-border-1.616914\)](https://www.thenational.ae/world/mena/hizbollah-chief-says-ready-to-battle-isil-on-lebanon-syria-border-1.616914)**: "As long as there is a missile that is fired from Lebanon that targets the Zionists, as long as there is one fighter who fires his rifle, as long as there is someone who plants a bomb against the Israelis, the resistance still exists."

The damage inflicted on Lebanon and its civilians will not be an issue for them. On the contrary, a war with Israel that would lead to death of civilians and the destruction of state institutions and infrastructure might be taken advantage of by Hezbollah to lobby back popular support for the "resistance," an ideological selling point that has waned since their involvement in Syria.

Indeed, the Lebanese, including many Shiites, have been increasingly critical of the "party of God." The long war in Syria has taken a toll on the Shiite community in particular, which lost many of its young men -- with no "divine victory" in return this time around. In addition, Hezbollah's budget shifts have led to serious cuts in social services, a sacrifice that has left many poor families struggling.

Despite many Shiites viewing the group as a beacon of resistance and liberation, the **[Syria crisis \(https://www.thenational.ae/business/economy/lebanon-poised-to-profit-from-syria-s-reconstruction-](https://www.thenational.ae/business/economy/lebanon-poised-to-profit-from-syria-s-reconstruction-)**

[1.620852](#)) has long changed this perspective, and Hezbollah is now seen among many as a **sectarian militia fighting for Iran's regional agenda** (<https://www.thenational.ae/opinion/the-cult-of-bashar-al-assad-extends-from-the-far-right-to-the-far-left-1.621909>). While, yes, some don't mind the sectarian power this new mission is bringing to the community, many do. Indeed, these disillusioned community members feel more isolated than ever and realise that this power is coming at a huge price: their livelihoods and future.

A tell-tale sign of this discontent is the results from the most recent municipal elections that took place last summer. For example, only 55 per cent of the votes in Baalbeck -- the biggest Shiite city in the Bekaa Valley -- went to both Hezbollah and Amal combined. Much of this discontent among the Shiites -- and other Lebanese communities -- stems from the fact that people are beginning to realise that the enemy may be within.

On the other hand, war may also bring back Hezbollah's resistance niche and distract people from internal problems, a scenario that would no doubt bode well for them. Indeed, if the next war takes place on Lebanese soil, Hezbollah will use the opportunity to regain public support, mainly from the Shiite community. By contrast, if the war takes place in Syria, **where Hezbollah is more exposed** (<https://www.thenational.ae/opinion/hizbollah-s-regional-agenda-is-a-surefire-recipe-for-more-war-1.624945>), the party definitely won't reap as much benefit. On the contrary, it will be seen as part of the regional war.

In any case, much depends on the goals of both sides in instigating war. If Hezbollah were to initiate a conflict, it will likely be much later and only to regain popularity, whereas if Israel is to start the war, much will depend on the objective and the context. If the goal of the war is to eradicate Hezbollah, it will have to **face Iran** (<https://www.thenational.ae/world/mena/iran-influence-in-syria-threat-to-middle-east-and-the-world-1.622235>). This would be the only way to ensure Hezbollah does not rebuild its arsenal. But without the United States' willingness to lead a war against Iran, Israel might try to contain Hezbollah for the time being by perhaps expanding its strikes in Syria to stop it from gaining more ground or stocking up more missiles. Whatever the scenario, one thing is for sure: both the Jewish state and Hassan Nasrallah's so-called party of God have much to consider.

Hanin Ghaddar, a veteran Lebanese journalist and researcher, is the Friedmann Visiting Fellow at The Washington Institute. ❖

The National

RECOMMENDED



BRIEF ANALYSIS

[Iran Takes Next Steps on Rocket Technology](#)

Feb 11, 2022

◆
Farzin Nadimi

(/policy-analysis/iran-takes-next-steps-rocket-technology)



BRIEF ANALYSIS

[Saudi Arabia Adjusts Its History, Diminishing the Role of Wahhabism](#)

Feb 11, 2022

Simon Henderson

(/policy-analysis/saudi-arabia-adjusts-its-history-diminishing-role-wahhabism)



ARTICLES & TESTIMONY

[Podcast: Breaking Hezbollah's Golden Rule](#)

Feb 9, 2022

Matthew Levitt

(/policy-analysis/podcast-breaking-hezbollahs-golden-rule)

TOPICS

[Arab-Israeli Relations \(/policy-analysis/arab-israeli-relations\)](#)

[Military & Security \(/policy-analysis/military-security\)](#)

[Proliferation \(/policy-analysis/proliferation\)](#)

REGIONS & COUNTRIES

[Iran \(/policy-analysis/iran\)](#)

[Israel \(/policy-analysis/israel\)](#)

[Lebanon \(/policy-analysis/lebanon\)](#)

[Syria \(/policy-analysis/syria\)](#)