

[Policy Analysis](#) /[Fikra Forum](#)

Bilal Wahab's Comment "Triple Standards: Kurds and Israelis vs. Arabs, Iranians, and Turks"

by [Bilal Wahab](#)

May 2, 2018

Also available in

[Arabic](#)

ABOUT THE AUTHORS



[Bilal Wahab](#)

Bilal Wahab is the Nathan and Esther K. Wagner fellow at The Washington Institute.



Brief Analysis

Political hypocrisy and multiple-, not just double-, standards are nothing new in the Middle East, against which [Soz](#) makes an impassioned case. Kurds of the region have been on the receiving end of this, exacerbated by lack of accountable governance, respect for human rights and lingering historical resentments. Comparing Israel's occupation of Palestinians and that of Turkey, Iraq, Iran, and Syria's of Kurds, as Soz does, is rhetorically bold but remains thin on politics or policy. Rather, the future of peace and stability depends on the ability of the Kurds and Palestinians to chart a path forward amid the region's many contradictions.

Kurds and Palestinians have something else in common – both have been used and abused by others to score political points. Unlike the plight of Palestinians, many Arab governments and media outlets turned a blind eye to the atrocities inflicted upon the Kurds. Yet Palestinians have received more words than dollars from their Arab 'supporters.' Iraqi Kurds were disappointed in Israeli prime minister Netanyahu, who supported their independence referendum last September on large verbal bullhorns, which agitated the regional powers, but did little to alleviate the consequent Kurdish suffering.

Kurds and Palestinians both also suffer from being forced to take other parties' sides at the expense of their own interests. Engaging in blame games has hurt them. Both have gained more from engagement with their adversaries (or occupiers) than from isolation, let alone violence. It is not only unfair but also impractical to ask Kurds to take sides in the Israeli-Arab conflict.

Kurds empathize with Palestinians as a stateless people, but also aspire to learn from the Israeli experience in building not just any state but one that is democratic and prosperous. Iraqi Kurds happen to be in a unique position to reach out to neighboring governments and peoples with their counter-narrative through diplomatic, trade, and media channels. Understandable as Soz's outrage may be, this kind of outreach to all sides is the better path forward

for Kurds today. ❖



[View/Print Page as PDF](#)

SHARE



EMAIL ALERTS



[Sign Up](#)



TO TOP

RECOMMENDED



BRIEF ANALYSIS

[Stalling Visions of the Gulf: The Case of Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030](#)

May 14, 2021

Frédéric G. Schneider

BRIEF ANALYSIS

[Kataib Hezbollah leads drone warfare inside Iraq](#)

May 14, 2021

Michael Knights,
Crispin Smith



ARTICLES & TESTIMONY

Stopping the Cycle in the Mideast

May 14, 2021

David Makovsky,
Dennis Ross

STAY UP TO DATE



SIGN UP FOR EMAIL ALERTS



THE
WASHINGTON INSTITUTE
for Near East Policy

1111 19th Street NW - Suite 500
Washington D.C. 20036
Tel: 202-452-0650
Fax: 202-223-5364

[Contact](#)

[Press Room](#)

[Subscribe](#)

Fikra Forum is an initiative of the Washington Institute for Near East Policy. The views expressed by Fikra Forum contributors are the personal views of the individual authors, and are not necessarily endorsed by the Institute, its staff, Board of Directors, or Board of Advisors.

منتدى فكرة هو مبادرة لمعهد واشنطن لسياسة الشرق الأدنى والآراء التي يطرحها مساهمي المنتدى لا يقرها المعهد بالضرورة ولا موظفيه ولا مجلس إدارته ولا مجلس مستشاريه وإنما تعبر فقط عن رأي أصحابه

The Institute is a 501(c)3 organization; all donations are tax-deductible.



An initiative of the Washington Institute for Near East Policy

